

Genuine Topic Verb-Second Structures in Old English

Richard Zimmermann

Département de Linguistique, Université de Genève, 2 rue de Candolle,
1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland,

Room 704, Richard.Zimmermann@unige.ch

SWELL, 25 March 2011

The Verb Second Constraint in Old English

In Old (OE) the finite verb (*Vfin*) is placed in a high verb position only in a limited number of contexts: direct questions (categorically)(1), after adverbs like *nu* 'now', *swa* 'so', *þa / þonne* 'then' (2), and in some verb-initial clauses (regularly) (3), as is evidenced by the position of subject pronouns (*spro*) immediately below *Vfin*.

- (1) Hwæt [cwæp [he [to hire]]]
what said he to her
'What did he say to her' (coblick, BIHom_1:3.13.14)
- (2) Þa [cwædon [hi [ealle...]]]
then said they all...
'Then they all said...'
(coaelhom, ÆHom_22:391.3509)
- (3) [Cwædon [heo [to him, "..."]]]
said she to him, "..."
'She said to him, "...'
(cobede, Bede_2:2.100.24.945)

After initial topics, however, *Vfin* stays in a lower verb position (Kemenade 1987, Pintzuk 1999, Haerberli 2000)

- (4) [þis [... [he [cwæð mid siccetunge ...]]]] (<---- normal word order)
this he said with sighing
'He said this with a sigh' (coaelhom, ÆHom_27:97.3983)

However, very rarely, the verb occurs in the high verb position even with topics. I refer to such constructions as "genuine Topic V2".

- (5) [Of þam heofonlican leohte [ne beo [ge [afyrhte]]]]. (<---- very rare)
of the heavenly light NEG be.sbjctv you afraid
'You should not be afraid of the heavenly light' (coaelive, ÆELS_[Vincent]:197.7923)

In this talk, I will investigate the diachronic development of genuine Topic V2 during the OE period.

Variable Rules Analysis of V2 structures

The dependent variable is the presence or absence of *spro-Vfin* inversion. Independent variables considered are (i) three OE periods, (ii) main or conjunct clause (iii) initial adverb, V1 or initial topic, (iv) indicative or subjunctive mood, (v) presence or absence of negation.

Total N=22,062		Factor weight	% of inversion	Corrected mean: 0.093 N
Clause type				
main		0.704	34.7	13685
conjoined main		0.148	3.1	6774
<i>RANGE</i>		56		
Mood				
indicative		0.468	24.9	19716
subjunctive		0.968	69.3	743
<i>RANGE</i>		50		
Negation				
negated finite verb		0.920	52.1	2697
positive finite verb		0.408	19.6	17762
<i>RANGE</i>		51		
Adverb				
initial adverb		0.923	64.3	4934
no initial constituent		0.346	12.7	13447
initial topic		0.144	3.9	2078
<i>RANGE</i>		78		
Period				
early		0.581	34.8	6034
late		0.547	28.3	4925
Ælfric		0.424	15.4	9500
<i>RANGE</i>		16		

inversion of *Vfin* and *spro* is significantly more frequent for:

- main clauses > conjoined clauses
- subjunctive > indicative mood
- negation > absence of negation
- initial adverb > V1 > initial topic
- early OE > late OE > Ælfric

Table 1. Factors significant to the occurrence of inversion of pronominal subject – finite verb in Old English.

(1) Decline of high verb position. (2) However, no coherent development for genuine Topic V2.

Explanation: Independent Topicalization and Verb Placement Rules

- I there is an independent topicalization rule in OE, which puts a topic into the XP-position
- II there is also an independent rule for placement of *Vfin* in the high verb position if it is negated and / or subjunctive

→ Genuine Topic V2 is “accidental”. It occurs when both rules I and II are applied

→ Hypotheses:

(a) No genuine Topic V2 Structures with positive, indicative verb

(b) predictability from frequencies of topicalization and high verb placement

Topic		Verb Type		
		negative	positive subjunctive / ambiguous mood	positive indicative
Complement	accusative NP	6	5	0
	dative NP	1	0	0
	genitive NP	2	0	0
	PP	4	4	0
	TOTAL	13	9	0
Adjunct	temporal PP	5	11	13
	locative PP	4	1	0
	other PP	8	7	3
	heavy AdvP	3	3	0
	temporal NP	1	0	0
	TOTAL	21	22	16

Table 2: Genuine Topic V2 with pos.ind.Vfin

Period	Expected Genuine Topic V2	Observed Genuine Topic V2
Early OE	18	20
Late OE	30	30
Ælfric	27	31

Table 3: Expected and observed Topic V2

Conclusion:

Genuine Topic V2 structures neither increase nor decrease during the OE period. They are “accidental” constructions that come into being through topicalization and an independent rule for verb fronting to a high verb position.

References

- Haerberli, E.** (2000) ‘Adjuncts and the Syntax of Subjects in Old and Middle English.’ In: Pintzuk, S., Tsoulas, G. and Warner, A. (eds.) *Diachronic Syntax: Models and Mechanisms*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 109-131.
- Kemenade, A. van.** (1987) *Syntactic case and morphological case in the history of English*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Pintzuk, S.** (1999) *Phrase Structures in Competition: Variation and Change in Old English Word Order*. New York: Garland.